ASCC Assessment Panel

Approved Minutes

Friday, January 24, 2020 9:30am-11:00am

105 Bricker Hall

ATTENDEES: Jenkins, Lam, Miriti, Oldroyd, Rush, Samuels

1. Approval of 1-10-20 minutes

* Rush, Samuels, unanimously approved

1. Review Anthropology 3597.01 and 3597.02 assessment report

* The department used embedded pre and post-test questions to evaluate. The panel liked the use of pre and post-tests. The department did not used indirect methods, which are not required.
* Recommendation: It is unclear what the achievement is for each individual ELO, since some questions are used for multiple ELOs. The panel recommends using one question for each ELO or developing a rubric to evaluate multiple ELOs with one question.
* Recommendation: The rubric is a little vague. The panel recommends using a more informative rubric.

1. Qualtrics presentation and discussion

* Suggestions:
  + Add “Studio” to course component options.
    - Studio art courses are categorized as “Laboratory” in the course catalog. The category could be listed as “Workshop/Studio” in the survey.
  + Change the wording for the sample rubric (e.g. “use the example rubric provided or your own rubric”)
  + Remove forced response on the survey, but indicate which questions are required.
  + Explore options for submitters to review entire survey before submitting.
  + Look into a way to get multiple questions on one page of the report so it is easier to print and view the results.
  + Put together a sample PDF of the report so departments can see what is required while developing assessment plans.
  + Send the survey to all department chairs and Directors of Undergraduate Studies for feedback. We do not want to impose this new system on departments.